

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region I
POLLUTION REPORT

Date: Thursday, February 10, 2005

From: Melanie Morash

Subject: Progress Update

Apco Mossberg Company, Inc. Site
100-101 Lamb Street, Attleboro, MA
Latitude: 41.9350000
Longitude: -71.2875000

POLREP No.:	2	Site #:	01BV
Reporting Period:		D.O. #:	33
Start Date:	1/18/2005	Response Authority:	CERCLA
Mob Date:	1/18/2005	Response Type:	Time-Critical
Demob Date:		NPL Status:	Non NPL
Completion Date:		Incident Category:	Removal Action
CERCLIS ID #:	MAD059731836	Contract #	68-W-03-037
RCRIS ID #:			

Site Description

This Pollution Report (POLREP) provides an update on cleanup work at the Apco Mossberg Company, Inc. Superfund Site, located at 100-101 Lamb Street in Attleboro, Massachusetts. The 11-acre property was a former automobile-parts manufacturing facility.

This removal action addresses heavy metal (lead, cadmium, and barium) and polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) contamination in surface soils and debris piles on and around the former manufacturing building foundation. The cleanup also addresses the presence of compressed gas cylinders identified on-site.

The available data indicates that these hazardous materials are linked to former manufacturing activities conducted on the property between 1900 and 1987.

Current Activities

On January 18, 2005, OSC Morash mobilized to the site with Emergency and Rapid Response Services (ERRS) and Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START) contractors for a site walk. The purpose of the site walk was to:

- (1) evaluate the integrity of existing fencing and determine locations for installation of additional fencing; and
- (2) evaluate locations for deployment of air monitoring and sampling equipment.

Perimeter air monitoring to determine baseline air particle levels was conducted January 19-21, 2005.

Soil sampling to further characterize the extent of contamination present and determine the fenceline footprint was conducted January 19-21, 2005.

From January 26 through February 9, 2005, ERRS contractors conducted snow removal and clearing activities in preparation for the fence installation.

A silt fence was installed along the proposed northern fenceline, by the riverbank, and along the proposed eastern fenceline, through the woods, to provide for erosion and sedimentation controls.

High-visibility temporary fencing was installed along the riverbank and Lamb Street during the week of February 7, 2005.

On February 8, 2005, compressed gas cylinders were collected and staged on-site for subsequent removal and off-site disposal.

Planned Removal Actions

Perimeter fence installation is planned for the week of February 14, 2005.

Other planned removal actions include:

- (1) removal of compressed gas cylinders and PCB-contaminated capacitor parts;
- (2) continued erosion control and dust suppression measures;
- (3) excavation and consolidation of contaminated debris piles and surface soils;
- (4) disposal of waste materials off-site at EPA-approved facilities; and
- (5) backfilling of excavated areas with clean fill materials.

Key Issues

EPA is coordinating with the City of Attleboro and the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection to implement the community involvement plan for the site.

Community members are invited to attend a public meeting on Thursday, March 31, 2005 at 7:00 p.m. The purpose of the meeting will be to discuss the ongoing cleanup and address any questions or concerns on the project.

The location of the meeting will be in the Municipal Council Chambers on the first floor of City Hall, at 77 Park Street in Attleboro, MA.

Estimated Costs *

	Budgeted	Total To Date	Remaining	% Remaining
Extramural Costs				
ERRS - Cleanup Contractor	\$380,000.00	\$7,886.34	\$372,113.66	97.92%
RST/START	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$100,000.00	100.00%
Intramural Costs				
Total Site Costs	\$480,000.00	\$7,886.34	\$472,113.66	98.36%

* The above accounting of expenditures is an estimate based on figures known to the OSC at the time this report was written. The OSC does not necessarily receive specific figures on final payments made to any contractor(s). Other financial data which the OSC must rely upon may not be entirely up-to-date. The cost accounting provided in this report does not necessarily represent an exact monetary figure which the government may include in any claim for cost recovery.

response.epa.gov/ApcoMossberg

POLREP #2 Last Updated 2/10/2005