

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region V
POLLUTION REPORT

Date: Saturday, July 22, 2006

From: Sonia R. Vega

To: Sonia Vega, USEPA	David Chung, USEPA
Linda Nachowicz, USEPA	Charlie Gebien, USEPA
Thomas Krueger, USEPA	Afif Marouf, USEPA
Rosauro DelRosario, USEPA	Carol Ropski, USEPA
Cheryl Allen, U.S. EPA	Jim VonHaden, National Park Service
Kevin Baumgard, USACoE	John Goebel, USCG
Steve Lee, MPCA	Molly Shodeen, MN DNR
Rita Messing, MN Department of Health	Dave Jaeger, Hennepin County
Judd Rietkerk, Minneapolis Park Board	Lori Olson, City of Minneapolis
Randi Kouri, Mississippi Corridor Neighborhood Coalition	Tim Kremer, Bottineau Neighborhood Group
Robert Marriam, WR Grace	John Maritote, US EPA

Subject: Removal Action at Gluek Park Resumes
Western Mineral Products - Gluek Park
1926 Marshall Street NE, Minneapolis, MN
Latitude: 45.0072000
Longitude: -93.2714000

POLREP No.: 45	Site #: B5P2
Reporting Period:	D.O. #: 0010
Start Date: 7/10/2006	Response Authority: CERCLA
Mob Date: 7/6/2006	Response Type: Time-Critical
Demob Date:	NPL Status: Non NPL
Completion Date:	Incident Category: Removal Action
CERCLIS ID #: MNN 000508 056	Contract # 68-S5-03-01
RCRIS ID #:	

Site Description

Please refer to PolRep numbers 38 or 39 for details.

Current Activities

During the current reporting period, personnel and equipment were mobilized to site, and removal work began. Vegetation was removed from the slope to ensure a safe operation, and excavation of asbestos impacted soils began on July 13, 2006. Excavated soils are been stockpiled on site for later off site disposal. Continuous air monitoring is in place, as well as dust control measures. See Images Section for updated site photos.

Of the 309 samples collected as of 22 July 2006, 1 was overloaded with dust or damaged, thus preventing the analysis of the sample cartridge. Of the remaining samples that have been analyzed, 33 samples (10%) contained concentrations of asbestos above the project-specific air quality objective with a maximum concentration of 0.008 S/cc. 26 of these samples were collected between 13 June 2005 and 16 June 2005. During this period the analytical laboratory was using a higher sensitivity and the analytical method detection limit used could not achieve our desired level. Per our requirement, the laboratory adjusted its sensitivity to 0.001 S/cc as of 17 June 2005. Since 17 June 2005, 8 samples were reported above the air quality objective of 0.001 S/cc with a maximum of 0.003 S/cc. 2 of these samples were reported using a higher sensitivity resulting from lower volume intake. 5 of these samples were associated with near source sampling and 1 was associated with tree removal. Laboratory asbestos concentrations in all remaining 284 samples collected and analyzed since 17 June 2005 reported concentrations at or below the air quality objective.

Of the 159 soil samples collected as of 22 July 2006, 18 had detectable levels of tremolite and chrysotile asbestos <1%, and 2 samples were reported above the action level as 1-2%. Analytical results have not been received for the 28 soil samples collected during 2006.

Planned Removal Actions

Continue the excavation of asbestos impacted soils, off site disposal of excavated soils, and backfill and restoration of excavated areas. It is expected this will continue through the Summer months.

Next Steps

Continue with the planned removal actions. Upon completion, re open the Park to the public.

Key Issues

None at this time.

Estimated Costs *

	Budgeted	Total To Date	Remaining	% Remaining
Extramural Costs				
ERRS - Cleanup Contractor	\$1,575,000.00	\$1,278,484.00	\$296,516.00	18.83%
START	\$128,313.00	\$93,523.00	\$34,790.00	27.11%
Intramural Costs				
Total Site Costs	\$1,703,313.00	\$1,372,007.00	\$331,306.00	19.45%

* The above accounting of expenditures is an estimate based on figures known to the OSC at the time this report was written. The OSC does not necessarily receive specific figures on final payments made to any contractor(s). Other financial data which the OSC must rely upon may not be entirely up-to-date. The cost accounting provided in this report does not necessarily represent an exact monetary figure which the government may include in any claim for cost recovery.

response.epa.gov/western-mineral-products

POLREP #45 Last Updated 7/22/2006